## Case 4:24-cr-00065-JSW Document 21 Filed 02/08/24 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

Unite	ed States of America,	) Case No.	CR 24-000L	SJSW	1
	Plaintiff, v.	,	ATED ORDER EXCL THE SPEEDY TRIAL		
DAK	Defendant(s).	)		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT ( NORTH DISTRICT OF CAL OAKLAND OFFICE	COURT
Trial Act from	ons stated by the parties on the record on Feb. 8, 2024 to Max. We, outweigh the best interest of the public A). The court makes this finding and be	and finds to and the defendant	hat the ends of justice t in a speedy trial. See	time under the Speedy served by the 2 18 U.S.C. §	
	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result	in a miscarriage of ju	stice.	
	The case is so unusual or so comple defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to expitself within the time limits establish	prosecution, or ect adequate prepa	the existence of no eration for pretrial prod	ovel questions of fact ceedings or the trial	
	Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of d				
<u> </u>	Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case composee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
<u>×</u>	Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				y
	With the consent of the defendant, a disposition of criminal cases, the corparagraph and — based on the partie the time limits for a preliminary hear extending the 30-day time period for exclusions set forth above). See Fed.	urt sets the prelimings' showing of goor ring under Federal r an indictment und	nary hearing to the dat d cause — finds good Rule of Criminal Prod ler the Speedy Trial A	te set forth in the first cause for extending cedure 5.1 and for	
IT IS	SO ORDERED.  ED: 3/8/2024		Westmore ates Magistrate Judge	Stull	)* 
STIPU	ULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant	United States Attorne	<del>ÿ</del>	